

Use of 9,10-Phenanthrenequinone Monoxime as a Selective and Sensitive Reagent for Iron in Environmental Samples

A. Wasey, R. K. Bansal, B. K. Puri, and M. Katyal*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110 016, India

9,10-Phenanthrenequinone monoxime (PQM) has been suggested as an analytical reagent for metals. The elements Fe(III), Ni(II), Cu(II) (KAMIL et al, 1978) and Co(II) (TRIKHA et al. 1967) have been determined in aqueous systems by liquid-liquid extraction in the microgram range through the use of PQM.

The usefulness of this versatile reagent has been extended to the analysis of trace amounts of iron in environmental, industrial efaluence, pharmacicular, and alloy samples by using a new technique of extraction, "solid-liquid separation after liquid-liquid extraction". The present communication deals with the analytical use of 9,10-Phenanthrenequinone monoxime. The reagent reacts with Fe(III) in the pH range 2.0-8.4 forming a brown coloured waterinsoluble thermally stable complex. This coloured pigment is extractable into molten naphthalene. solidified naphthalene containing iron complex was dissolved in chloroform. The absorption in the visible region varies linearly with Fe(III) concentration at 470 nm. This characteristic has been employed in the quantification of iron in a variety of samples.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Doubly distilled water and analytical reagent grade acids and salts were used throughout unless stated otherwise. Ammonium ferric sulphate was prepared in water and standardized by usual method. A 0.001 M PQM solution was prepared in ethanol. Dilute solution of perchloric acid (0.1 M) and sodium perchlorate (1 M) were used for pH adjustments.

^{*}St. Stephen's College, Delhi-110 007, India.

Naphthalene and chloroform were checked spectrophotometrically before use.

An Elico meter, SP-700/500 spectrophotometer (Pye Unicam) and SP-191-atomic absorption spectrophometer (Pye Unicam) were used for measurements.

To an aliquot of iron(III) solution in a beaker. add 2.1 ml of the reagent solution. Measure the pH and adjust it to lie within the range 2.0-8.4 by adding 0.1 M perchloric acid solution or 1.0 M sodium perchlorate solution. Transfer the solution into a 100 ml round-bottom flask and heat to 60°C in a water-bath. Add 2.0 g of naphthalene, stopper the flask and continue to heat until the naphthalene melts. Remove the flask from the water bath and shake it vigorously until the naphthalene separates as a solid mass. Repeat the melting and solidification procedure. Separate the naphthalene from the aqueous phase by filtration through a filter paper. Dissolve the solid mass in chloroform and dilute to 10 ml with chloroform in a calibrated flask. Dry the solution with 2.0 g of anhydrous sodium sulphate to remove the last traces of water. Place a portion of this solution in 1 cm cell and measure the absorbance at 470 nm against a reagent blank. Prepare a calibration graph under similar conditions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The absorption spectra of PQM and its iron complex in naphthalene-chloroform solution were recorded against water and reagent blank respectively. It was observed that the complex absorbed strongly in the range of 468-474 nm where reagent absorbed negligibly.

Extractions were carried out over a wide range of pH. It was found that the extraction were quantitative over the pH range of 2.0-8.4.

The volume of the reagent was varied keeping to other conditions constant. It was observed that the absorbance remained constant for use of 0.5-5.0 ml of 0.001 M PQM solution.

Amount of naphthalene was varied from 0.2-4.0 g. It was found that the extractions were quantitative when the amounts of naphthalene were in the range of 1.3-3.5 g. Thus, 2.0 g of naphthalene was used in all the cases.

Since the volume of the organic phase is very small as compared with that of the aqueous phase, it was necessary to observe the effect of the volume of aqueous phase on the extractions. It was observed that the extractions were quantitative when the aqueous phase did not exceed 50 ml.

The absorbance of the extract after dissolution in chloroform was measured at a definite intervals of time. The absorbance remained constant for 25 h.

Extractions were carried out at different intervals of shaking time. It was found that the extraction was fast and took 2.0 min for complete extraction. Various electrolytes such as sodium chloride, sodium nitrate and sodium perchlorate in the concentration range of 0.01-0.1 M had no effect on extractions indicating no salting effect.

Under the optimum conditions described above, a calibration curve was constructed at 470 nm against a reagent blank. Beer's law was obeyed in the concentration range of 28.0-37.0 µg per 10 ml of the final solution. From the Ringbom plot it was found that 8.4-30.7 ppm of iron could be determined accurately. The molar absorptivity and sensitivity were calculated to be 2.067x10³ 1.mol⁻¹.cm⁻¹ and 0.027 µg/cm² respectively. Aliquots containing 11.2 µg of iron gave a mean absorbance of 0.405 with a standard deviation of 0.0032. The accuracy was checked by the analysis of iron in certain complex materials (Table 1).

In general, 5000 μ g/ml of anions and 100 μ g/ml of ions were added individually to aliquots containing 11.2 μ g of iron. Among the anions (Table 2), orthophosphate, fluoride, citrate, tartrate and EDTA interfered, but their relatively lower amounts could be tetrated except EDTA probably due to higher stability of Fe-EDTA complex. Among the metal ions (Table 3) Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Pd(II) and Os(VIII) interfered, but their relatively low concentrations could be tolerated by extraction of iron at pH = 2.0, while Pd(II) could be eliminated by extraction of iron at pH 8.4. Ru(III), Rh(III), Ir(III), Pt(IV) and Cr(III) form complexes with PQM after heating for about 30 min, while iron reacts immediately with PQM at room temperature, so they do not interfere in the determination of iron when each is present in 100 µg amount.

Table 1. Determination of Iron in Alloys, Beers, Wines, Environmental Samples, Human Hair and Ferrous gluconate.

| Sample | Fe found by present method (\rhog/ml) | Average | Error (%) | Fe found by AAS method (µg/ml) |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Stainless steel No. | 14.8,15.0, 14.8,14.8, 14.8 | 14.84 | 1.0 | 14.9 |
| Stainless steel No. 306 | 20.0,19.8, 20.0,19.8, 19.6 | 19.84 | 0.8 | 20.0 |
| 33d Steel (MBS) | 9.0, 8.9, 9.0,8.9, 9.0 | 8.96 | 0.44 | 9.0 |
| Inconet 600 | 15.9,15.8, 15.6,15.8, 15.8 | 15.78 | 0.10 | 15.8 |
| Elgiloy | 15.0,15.1, 15.0 | 15.06 | 0.90 | 15.2 |
| CPB-Lead concentrate | 8.5, 8.4, 8.5,8.4, 8.5 | 8.46 | 0.47 | 8.5 |
| CZM-1-Zinc concentrate | 11.0,11.2, 11.1,11.0, 11.1 | 11.1 | 0.90 | 11.2 |
| Beer A | 4.1, 4.2, 4.2, 4.2 4.2 | 4.14 | 1.2 | 4.2 |
| В | 4.9, 4.9, 5.1, 5.0, 5.0 | 4.98 | 0.47 | 5.0 |
| С | 3.9, 3.8, 3.9, 3.8, 3.7 | 3.82 | - 0.50 | 3.8 |
| D | 6.5, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.4 | 6.48 | 0.30 | 6.5 |

| Table 1 | (Cont.) | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------|-------|------|------|
| E | | 3.5, 3.4, 3.5 | 3.6, 3.5, | 3.50 | 0.00 | 3.5 |
| Wine A | | 6.1, 6.2, 6.1 | | 6.18 | 0.32 | 6.2 |
| В | | 5.9, 6.0, 6.0 | 6.0, 6.0, | 5.98 | 0.33 | 6.0 |
| С | | 5.1, 5.3, 5.2 | 5.2, 5.1, | 5.18 | 0.38 | 5.2 |
| D | | 5.4, 5.4, 5.5 | 5.5, 5.6, | 5.48 | 0.36 | 5.5 |
| E | | 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 | 4.6, 4.5, | 4.58 | 0.43 | 4.6 |
| Enviror Samples | | | | | | |
| Flyash | | 11.5,3 11.6,3 11.6 | | 11.56 | 0.34 | 11.6 |
| | В | 11.9, 12.1, 12.0 | | 11.96 | 0.33 | 12.0 |
| | С | 10.5, 10.4, 10.5 | | 10.46 | 0.38 | 10.5 |
| | D | 13.0, 12.9, 13.0 | | 12.92 | 0.61 | 13.0 |
| Waster | Water | | | | | |
| (Okhla | seawage | 2) | | | | |
| | A | 4.8, 4.7, 4.8 | | 4.76 | 0.83 | 4.8 |
| | В | 4.5, 4.4, 4.5 | | 4.48 | 0.44 | 4.5 |

Table 1 (Contd.) С 4.9, 4.9, 4.98 0.40 5.0 5.1, 5.0, 5.0 Waste Water (I.P.thermal 4.9, 4.8, 4.98 0.40 5.0 power) A 4.9, 5.1, 5.2 4.8, 4.9, 4.90 0.00 В 4.8 5.0, 5.0, 4.8 5.9, 6.0, 5.98 0.33 C 6.0 6.1, 5.9, 6.0 D 5.4, 5.5, 5.48 0.36 5.5 5.6, 5.4, 5.5 Human hair A 13.8,13.9, 13.92 0.57 14.0 13.9,14.0, 14.0 В 14.2,14.4, 14.42 0.55 14.5 14.4,14.6, 14.5 С 14.9,15.0, 14.92 0.52 15.0 14.8,14.9, 15.0 26.5,26.8 26.76 D 0.88 27.0

11.96

0.33

12.0

26.6,26.9,

12.0,11.9,

11.9,12.0,

27.0

12.0

Ferrous gluconate

Table 2. Effect of Diverse Anions Fe : 11.2 \rm g/ml

| Alkali salt added | Amount of anion added (µg/ml) | Absorbance at 470 nm |
|--|--|--|
| Sodium fluoride | 5000 1000 500 250 | 0.410 0.080 0.280 0.390 0.406 |
| Sodium chloride Potassium bromide Potassium iodide Sodium carbonate Sodium thiocyanate Sodium sulphate Sodium orthophosphate | 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 2000 | 0.408 0.405 0.405 0.410 0.404 0.412 0.389 0.405 |
| Sodium oxalate Sodium citrate | 5000 5000 2000 | 0.406 0.39 0.406 |
| Sodium potassium tartrate EDTA (disodium) | 5000 2000 5000 1000 | 0.385 0.408 0.020 0.080 0.080 |

Table 3. Effect of Diverse Metal Ions Fe : 11.2 μ g/ml

| Metal salt | added | Amount of metal ion added (µg/ml) | Absorbance at 470 nm |
|------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cobalt(II) | chloride | 100 50 40 | 0.410 0.490* 0.420* 0.414* |
| Nickel(II) | chloride | 100 50 | 0.450* 0.412* |
| Copper(II) | chloride | 100 50 30 | 0.46* 0.430* 0.410* |

Table 3 (Contd.)

| Palladium(II) nitrate | 100 50 20 10 | 0.520 ⁺ 0.480 ⁺ 0.430 ⁺ 0.412 ⁺ |
|--|--|--|
| Osmium(VIII) tetraoxide | 100 50 30 | 0.450* 0.430* 0.412* |
| Ruthenium(III) chloride Rhodium(III) chloride Iridium(III) chloride Platinum(IV) chloride Chromium(III) chloride Aluminium(III) nitrate Lead(II) nitrate Zinc(II) chloride Cadmium(II) chloride Mercury(II) nitrate Silver(I) nitrate Ammonium molybdate Ammonium vanadate Sodium tungstate Manganese(II) sulphate Ammonium arsenite Tartar emetic | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | 0.412 0.413 0.412 0.410 0.412 0.410 0.405 0.412 0.411 0.413 0.412 0.410 0.406 0.406 0.405 0.405 |
| Gold(III) chloride | 100 | 0.412 |

^{*}Eliminated by extraction of iron at pH 2.0.

Determination of Iron(III) in Alloys, Beers, Wines, Environmental samples, Human hair and Ferrous gluconate.

A 100 mg of the alloy sample was dissolved in 15-20 ml of aqua-regia and the solution evaporated to dryness. Then 10 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid were added, the solution was warmed to dissolve salts and transferred to a 1 litre standard flask and made upto the mark with distilled water. An aliquot of this solution was taken in a beaker and extracted according to the general procedure.

A 50 ml of beer or wine sample was evaporated to dryness. The residue was ashed and dissolved in a 100 ml of 1:1 nitric acid solution. To avoid loss of iron by evaporation the ashing temperature was maintained at 560-580°C. Four ml of this sample solution were taken and analyzed by the present method.

⁺Eliminated by extraction of iron at pH 8.4.

A 10 g of fly ash sample of I.P. thermal power (New Delhi) was digested with nitric acid for half an hour and its solution was made in a 50 ml standard flask. Two ml of this solution were taken and analyzed according to the general procedure.

A 500 ml of the composite sample of waste water (Okhla seawage, I.P. thermal power, Shantivana, New Delhi) was reduced to 50 ml by evaporation in the presence of a few drops of nitric acid. Five ml of this solution were taken for the analysis of these samples.

Human hair (1.0 g) samples were ashed separately at 550°C in a furnance. The residues were dissolved in concentrated nitric acid (10 ml) and evaporated to dryness.

The residues thus obtained were treated with distilled water, transferred in a 25 ml standard flask by filtration and made upto the mark with distilled water. Five ml of each sample were taken and analyzed by the general procedure.

A 5.0 ml ferrous gluconate sample was heated with 20 ml of nitric acid to dryness and ashed at about 550°C. The residue was again treated with 15 ml of nitric acid, evaporated to dryness and finally treated with distilled water, transferred in 100 ml standard flask by filtration and made upto the make with distilled water. Three ml of this sample solution was taken and analyzed according to the general procedure.

In all cases the extractions were carried out at pH 2.0 where other metal ions did not interfere. Five replicate determinations of iron were made for each samples. The results obtained by the present method were compared with atomic absorption spectrophotometric method. The results obtained by both the methods are incorporated in Table 3.

Acknowledgements. One of us (A.W.) is grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for the Financial assistance.

REFERENCES

Kamil F, Sindhwani SK, Singh RP (1978) Phenanthrenequinone monoxime as an extractive chromogenic reagent for copper(II). Ann. Chim. (Rome) 68:71-79 Trikha KC, Katyal M, Singh RP (1967) Spectrophotometric determination of cobalt with phenanthrenequinone monoxime. Talanta 14:977-980. Received July 18, 1983; accepted September 15, 1983